Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/830,691	CHOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>	
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Karen S. Canady</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		·
Claims discussed: 5, 8 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amend application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
David Lugo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – it appropriate)